PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

applicant's or agent's file	reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	8	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
eternational application	No.	International filing date (day/month/	year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International application No. PCT/EP2004/013685		02.12.2004		04.12.2003
ntemational Patent Clas A01N37/52	sification (IPC) or n	ational classification and IPC		,
Applicant BASF AKTIENGES				·
Authority under	Article 35 and tra	instituted to the applicant accoust	g	s International Preliminary Examining 5.
2. This REPORT	consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover	sheet.	
o This report is a	lso accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:		
	the anniioant and	to the International Bureau) a total	al of sheets, a	s follows:
☐ she	ets of the descrip	tion, claims and/or drawings which ning rectifications authorized by the ctions)	h have been ar his Authority (se	ee Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ she	ets which supers	ede earlier sheets, but which this e in the international application a	as med, as mon	iders contain an amendment that goes cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
'	sk - Intermetional	Bureau only) a total of (indicate t	vpe and number	er of electronic carrier(s)) _ , containing
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ce listing and/or to	e Listing (see Section 802 of the	ype and numbe readable form Administrative	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing only, as indicated in the Supplemental Instructions).
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ce listing and/or to lating to Sequence ntains indications	relating to the following items:	ype and numbe readable form Administrative	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing only, as indicated in the Supplemental Instructions).
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ce listing and/or to lating to Sequence the	relating to the following items:	ype and numbe readable form Administrative	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing only, as indicated in the Supplemental Instructions).
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ntains indications Basis of the c	relating to the following items:	Administrative	Instructions).
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ntains indications Basis of the control Priority Non-establish	relating to the following items: pinion ment of opinion with regard to no	Administrative	Instructions).
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish	relating (see Section 802 of the relating to the following items: spinion ment of opinion with regard to not of invention	Administrative	e step and industrial applicability
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish	relating to the following items: pinion ment of opinion with regard to no	Administrative	e step and industrial applicability
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned stapplicability; Certain docu	relating (see Section 802 of the relating to the following items: plinion ment of opinion with regard to not invention atement under Article 35(2) with recitations and explanations supportments cited	egard to novelt	e step and industrial applicability
b. (sent to sequer Box Re	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu	relating (see Section 802 of the relating to the following items: spinion ment of opinion with regard to not of invention attement under Article 35(2) with recitations and explanations supportments cited ets in the international application	egard to novelt	e step and industrial applicability
b. (sent to sequened Box Research Box Research Box Research Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish A Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu	relating (see Section 802 of the relating to the following items: plinion ment of opinion with regard to not invention atement under Article 35(2) with recitations and explanations supportments cited	egard to novelt	e step and industrial applicability
b. (sent to sequened Box Research Box Research Box Research Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu Cill Certain obse	relating (see Section 802 of the relating to the following items: plinion ament of opinion with regard to not of invention atement under Article 35(2) with recitations and explanations support ments cited outs in the international application relations on the international application and explanational applications.	egard to novelt	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
b.	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu Cill Certain obse	relating (see Section 802 of the relating to the following items: plinion ament of opinion with regard to not of invention atement under Article 35(2) with recitations and explanations support ments cited outs in the international application relations on the international application and explanational applications.	egard to novelt	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
b.	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu Cill Certain obse	relating to the following items: relating to the following items: relating to the following items: repinion ment of opinion with regard to not of invention relations and explanations support on the international application relations on the international application.	egard to novelt	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
b. (sent to sequer Box Reference Box Reference Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V Date of submission of O9.09.2005	ntains indications Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu Certain deferment	relating to the following items: pinion ment of opinion with regard to not of invention attement under Article 35(2) with recitations and explanations support ments cited attempt to the international application relations on the international application of the	egard to novelt ting such state cation	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
b. (sent to sequer Box Resequer Box Resequer Box Resequer Box Resequer Box No. II Box No. II	ntains indications Basis of the or Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned stapplicability; Certain docu Certain defer	relating to the following items:	Administrative ovelty, inventive egard to novelt ting such state cation	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
b. (sent to sequer Box Ref 4. This report co Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V B	ntains indications Basis of the or Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned strapplicability; Certain docu Cill Certain defer	relating to the following items:	egard to novelt ting such state cation of completion of 2.2005	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
b. (sent to sequer Box Ref.) 4. This report co Box No. II Box No. II Box No. II Box No. V Europ Nt22 Tel. +	ntains indications Basis of the or Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned stapplicability; Certain docu Certain defer	relating to the following items:	egard to novelt ting such state cation of completion of 2.2005	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement this report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013685

		No. I	Basis of the report	
١.	With filed	, unies	d to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was so otherwise indicated under this item.	
		which into	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets wh have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Des	criptio	n, Pages	
	1-5	6	as originally filed	
	Cla	umbers		
	1-1	0	as originally filed	
		a sec	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
з. 🗆		The a	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
Ŭ	. –		e description, pages	
		☐ th	e claims, Nos.	
		∐ th	ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :	
		□ ai	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
2	l. □ ha Su	d not b applem	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
			ne description, pages ne claims, Nos. ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : any table(s) related to sequence-listing <i>(specify)</i> :	
	4		item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013685

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 2-4 1, 5-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/013685

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: EP-A-0 604 798 (AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY; BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT) 6 July 1994 (1994-07-06)
- D2: DATABASE CA [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; KUHN, D. G. ET AL: "Cycloalkyl-substituted amidrazones: a novel class of insect control agents" XP002330111 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 1998:294837
- D3: DATABASE CA [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; FURCH, J. A. ET AL: "Amidrazones: a new class of coleopteran insecticides" XP002330112 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 1998:294826

Before dealing with the question of novelty and inventive step, it is noted that claim 10 does not contain any technical step carried out in the claimed process and thus lacks clarity (Article 6 PCT).

- -Each of the documents D1-D3 discloses the insecticidal and acaricidal activity of compounds of formula (I) and their use for plant protection. The use of said compounds for combatting pests in and on animals is not mentioned. The subject-matter of claims 1-10 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- -The document D1 which is regarded as being the closest prior art discloses the use of N-arylhydrazine derivatives of formula (I) for the protection of crops from the damage and loss caused by insect and acarid pests.

The problem underlying the present invention may be seen as that of controlling parasites in and on animals.

The claimed subject-matter differs from the teaching of D1 in that the compounds of formula (I) are used to control parasites in and on animals, whereas D1 relates only to crop protection.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/013685

Applicant's argument that all the compounds of formula (I) unexpectedly are both effective against animal parasites and also fulfil the challenging requirements of metabolic compatibility, low toxicity and safety in handling cannot be followed: in view of the numerous variations encompassed by claim 1, it is doubtful whether all the compounds used fulfil both the aforementioned requirements of activity and low-toxicity to animals and thus solve the above problem. Therefore, an objection under Article 33(3) PCT in combination with Rule 6.3 PCT is maintained against the subject-matter of claims 1 and 5-10.

The subject-matter of claims 2-4 (Article 33(3) PCT) can be considered as being inventive since it could not be predicted from any of the cited documents or any combination thereof that the amidrazones of formula I-B are safe pesticide which are effective for combatting pests in and on animals.

-The subject-matter of claims 1-10 is considered to be industrially applicable and the present application is therefore considered to satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33 (4) PCT.